

<p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p> <p>All Participants:</p> <p>(1) <u>CAROLINE ARCOS</u></p> <p>(2) <u>Abdullah Kawsar</u>.</p> <p>Date of Interview: <u>18 June 2010</u></p> <p>Type of Interview:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)</p> <p>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .</p> <p>Part I.</p> <p>Rejection(s) discussed: None</p> <p>Claims discussed: 1-32</p> <p>Prior art documents discussed: None</p> <p>Part II.</p> <p>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Discussed applicant invention and clarify claim language. Applicant agreed to amend the claims through examiner amendment.</i></p> <p>Part III.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</p>	<p>Application No. 10/824,074</p> <p>Examiner CAROLINE ARCOS</p> <p>Applicant(s) CHEN ET AL.</p> <p>Art Unit 2195</p> <p>Status of Application: _____</p> <p>(3) <u>Robert Lord</u></p> <p>(4) _____</p> <p>Time: <u>2:00PM</u></p>
---	---

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)